## Remarks

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application as amended herein. Claims 1 and 15 have been amended. Claims 6-14, 19 and 20 have been canceled previously. No claims have been added. Thus, claims 1-5 and 16-19 are pending.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 1-5 and 15-18 were rejected as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0242325 of Ramaswamy, et al. (*Ramaswamy*) in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2006/0242325 of Deshpande (*Deshpande*). For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicants submit that claims 1-5 and 15-18 are not rendered obvious by *Ramaswamy* and *Deshpande*.

## Claim 1 recites:

execute a discoverable home network transcoder server utilizing a Universal Plug and Play (UPnP) protocol to communicatively couple to a media server to receive media signals from the media server, to convert the media signals to a format compatible with more than one media renderers, and to transmit the converted signals to the more than one media renderers, wherein the media signals are converted by the discoverable home network transcoder server before the media signals are requested by any of the more than one media renderers in response to monitoring of the media server and transcoding of new content when the new content becomes available on the media server.

Thus, Applicants claim transcoding (or converting) data before it is requested by a media renderer (see, for example, paragraph 0029) by monitoring the media server (see, for example, paragraph 0029).

Ramaswamy does not expressly or inherently disclose transcoding (or converting)
data before it is requested by a media renderer. Figure 4 merely shows conversion before

retransmission. At best, Ramaswamy is silent on the issue. Further, Ramaswamy does not teach or suggest monitoring the media server.

Deshpande is cited to teach UPnP protocols. See Office Action at page 3.

However, Deshpande does not cure the deficiencies of Ramaswamy set forth above. 
Therefore, no combination of Deshpande and Ramaswamy can teach or suggest the invention as recited in claim 1 or any claim that depends therefrom.

## Claim 15 recites:

incorporating a home network media renderer by a client of a home network, the client being a module in a web browser having a network application program that supports a first media file format for the home network media renderer:

encoding the home network media renderer in the first media file format to support media files of the first media file format; converting a media file to a second media file format before

converting a media file to a second media file format before receiving a request for the media file in response to monitoring of the media server and transcoding of new content when the new content becomes available on the media server;

requesting from a media server with the network application program of the client the media file in the second media file format; and recognizing with a discoverable home network transcoder server utilizing a Universal Plug and Play (UPnP) protocol that the media file is of the second media file format and converting the home network media renderer of the network application program to the second media file format prior to providing the media file to the web browser module of the client

Thus, Applicants claim transcoding data before it is requested by a media renderer by monitoring the media server.

As discussed above, neither *Ramaswamy* nor Deshpande appears to teach or suggest disclose transcoding data before it is requested by a media renderer by monitoring the media server. Therefore, *Ramaswamy* cannot anticipate claim 15 or any claim that depends therefrom.

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that the rejections have been

overcome. Therefore, claims 1-5 and 16-19 are in condition for allowance and such

action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the

undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present

application. Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit

Account number 02-2666.

Date: April 22, 2009

Respectfully submitted, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Paul A. Mendonsa/ Paul A. Mendonsa

Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 42,879

12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

(503) 439-8778

-7-